

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wpio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/829,091	04/20/2004	John C. Eidson	10040199-1	6015
22878 AGII ENT TEG	7590 12/15/200 CHNOLOGIES INC.	EXAM	EXAMINER	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION, LEGAL DEPT.			JACOBS, LASHONDA T	
MS BLDG. E P.O. BOX 7599 LOVELAND, CO 80537		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2457	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/15/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

IPOPS.LEGAL@agilent.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/829,091	EIDSON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
LASHONDA T. JACOBS	2457	

	LASHONDA 1. JACOBS	2457	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 27 November 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
 \(\)\(\)\(\)\(\)\(\)\(\)\(\)\(\	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Action of event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the st set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl	iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b			cause
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further con (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 		E below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	, ,		
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s). 		•	
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov 		I be entered and an e	xplanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-8.10-16.18-21 and 24-27. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (I 13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/LaShonda T Jacobs/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2457	

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argue that McDonnell does not use configuration data to configure a distributed device for performing measurement/control function and diffusing the configuration data among a set of distributed devices. However, the Examiner disagrees. McDonnell discloses a user setting parameters (configuration data) of a mobile entity in order for the device to perform atmospheric reading or other readings in which the readings are instanted to the devices (paragraphs 0063-0069). Therefore, McDonnell discloses using configuration data (settings parameters) to configure a distributed device for performing measurement/control function and diffusing the configuration data among a set of distributed devices. Applicant also argue that there is no mention of a klosk in McDonnell. However the the klosk is inherently in the mobile structure of the communication in order for klosk to interface with the mobile the entity (carararaphs 0063-0069).

/LaShonda T Jacobs/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2457